

SCIENCE WRITING

Centre for Writers, 1-42 Assiniboia Hall
 c4w.ualberta.ca centreforwriters@ualberta.ca

1. What is science?

From Latin *scientia*, meaning "knowledge"; the systematic study, explanation, and organization of the structure and behavior of the physical and natural world through observation, experiments, and predictions.

2. What is science/scientific writing?

- Reports of original research in academic/scientific journals, for an audience of peers (= scientific writing) (e.g. *Nature* and *Science*).
- Communications to the larger public about discoveries (through magazines, newspapers, executive summaries, press releases, museums, radio, websites, etc.) (= science writing) (e.g. *National Geographic*).
- Grant proposals, conference presentations, posters, etc.
- Which ones are you doing in your various classes?

3. What do scientists write?

- | | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| a. Field notes | m. Academic papers |
| b. Posters | n. Grant applications |
| c. Executive summaries | o. Press releases |
| d. Electronic messages | p. Letters of applications |
| e. Instructions | q. Resumés and CVs |
| f. Theses and dissertations | r. Case histories |
| g. Letters | s. Data sheets |
| h. Summaries | t. Articles |
| i. Presentations | u. Books |
| j. Proposals | v. Procedures, manuals, specifications |
| k. Abstracts | w. Progress reports |
| l. Reports | |

4. Why do scientists write?

- a. To *remember* (do the readings ahead of time. Take good notes of lectures, presentations, experiments, etc. Taking good notes is an aid to concentration.)
- b. To *observe* (from general observations to the details. Keep good records. Make accurate drawings and complete accurate data sheets.)
- c. To *think* (be organized, capture your thoughts and questions. Make writing part of the investigation, it will help organize, plan, and clarify thoughts, steps, and statements of the problem or question; don't wait until the end of the investigation.)
- d. To *share* new information, participate in a conversation of experts in your field, and become known for your contributions.

5. Characteristics of good science writing

- a. *Audience* (needs, previous knowledge, purpose or writing, requirements, etc.).
- b. *Completeness* (show awareness of all sides of the issue).
- c. *Impartiality* (make assumptions and underlying arguments clear, indicate how data was obtained, specify limitations of results, etc.).

- d. *Objectivity* (conclusions based on evidence and not on unsupported opinions, mention of need for further investigation, nothing should be implied).
- e. *Clarity* (application of the scientific method in the statement of a problem, the formulation of hypotheses, the planning of an investigation, and its execution; illustrations, charts, graphs, etc.).
- f. *Accuracy* (precision in observations, measurements, records, data analysis, use of words and terminology, etc. Every investigation should be replicable.)
- g. *Precision* (exact definitions, accurate measurements, etc.).
- h. *Simplicity* (choose the simplest explanation in accordance with the evidence; write direct, straightforward prose, free from distracting elaborations).
- i. *Appropriateness* (to the subject, the reader, and the context).
- j. *Brevity* (keep things brief and concise. Go to the point quickly.)
- k. *Control* (pay attention to arrangement, presentation and timing so that you re always in control— affecting the reader in a chosen way).
- l. *Consistency* (in the use of names, terms, jargon, abbreviations, numbers, symbols, etc.).
- m. *Order and coherence* (readers should find the message easy to understand and presented in a logical order, especially when describing an experiment or giving directions).

6. What typical mistakes do science students make?

- a. Lack of concision (not paying attention to word count limits)
- b. Too pretentious, not simple and clear enough (meaning is obscured by complicated words)
- c. Poor paraphrasing/summarizing/citing skills
- d. Not following the assignment description and instructors' instructions
- e. Not using and/nor reading rubrics carefully
- f. Too much interpretation and not enough fact-based information
- g. Poor writing habits: write *results FIRST* for a report, and *discussion NEXT*. *Introductions and conclusions* are less important and should be done at the end. Don't waste time and energy on small things that are worth fewer points. Be strategic.

7. Examples of a BIO 107 assignment:

- a. *Explain why the experiment was done (goal of the experiment and importance of the topic).*
- b. *Write a 2-4 sentence summary of the procedure.*
- c. *Main findings: summarize your results in comparison to the control group.*
- d. *Interpretation of main findings: explain the results in context of background information and directly compare the results to published research.*
- e. *Recommend changes based on the results of this experiment. Suggest a follow-up experiment.*

8. Example of a BIO 107 rubric:

- a. *Summary accurately and concisely conveys top two or three most important aspects of findings in comparison to the control group (up to 6 points).*
- b. *In one paragraph, gives background (and cites) material supporting or contrasting significant findings (up to 6 points).*
- c. *In a second paragraph, relates results via a direct comparison to other research findings (up to 3 points).*
- d. *Gives suggestions on better handling of beets/produce/relevant resources based on above findings (up to 3 points).*
- e. *Suggests future experiments or avenues of investigation (up to 3 points).*
- f. *Includes raw data table and sample calculation of dilution (up to 1 point).*

References and resources:

- Barrass, R. (2002). *Scientists must write*. London: Routledge.
- Canadian Science Writers' Association: <http://sciencewriters.ca/>
- Day, R. A. and Gastel, B. (2006). *How to write and publish a scientific paper*. Westport, Connecticut: Greenwood Press.
- The Science Writers' Handbook: <http://pitchpublishprosper.com/science-writers-handbook/>